

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is requested.

Claims 1-13 remain in the application. Claims 1-8 and 10-13 have been amended to define the invention more clearly.

The Examiner objected to the specification because the original specification did not include the section headings required by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Correction was required.


This amendment is submitted concurrently with a marked version of the original specification to show changes to the specification that are proposed. A clean version of the specification also is attached and incorporates the changes indicated on the marked version. These changes do not add new matter and should be entered.

The Examiner raised several rejections under 35 USC 112, Second paragraph.

The Examiner's careful reading of the application is appreciated. The original claims have been reviewed carefully and have been amended to address the specific rejections mentioned in the Office Action and to enter other clarifying changes. The amendments to the claims have proper support in the original specification and do not add new matter.

Counsel and the applicant note that the Examiner has not applied any prior art to the original claims. It is submitted, therefore, that the amended claims define patentable subject matter and should be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,



Gerald E. Hespos, Esq.
Atty. Reg. No. 30,066
Customer No. 001218
CASELLA & HESPOS LLP
274 Madison Avenue - Suite 1703
New York, NY 10016
Tel. (212) 725-2450
Fax (212) 725-2452

Date: November 3, 2008